

Mentoring Pilot

September 16-18, 2010, Nashville, TN

Evaluations Summary

As background for interpreting these scores, the Commission evaluated all in-state CLE courses in 1991-1993. Those evaluations revealed that questions focused on usefulness, such as “I can use what I learned,” produced the lowest scores. For this reason, the evaluation form for the mentoring program focused on usefulness. The mean scores and percentage of evaluations reporting a 6 or 7 for the questions focused on the usefulness of the program as a mentor (6.34), lawyer (6.06), and for life in general (6.38) are very high. The median benefit score in 1993 for courses longer than one hour was 5.72.

Topic	Avg. Scale 1-7 Not at all useful – Extremely Useful	%6+
5 Challenges of Law	5.42	54.8%
Strengths	5.97	87.1%
Flexible & Accurate Thinking	5.68	58.1%
Immunity to Change	5.68	67.7%
Praise	5.71	74.2%
Challenging Communications	5.86	79.3%
Active Constructive	6.21	93.1%

Topic	Avg. Scale 1-7 Very Strongly Agree – Very Strongly Disagree	%6+
Gained a great deal of info	6.19	81.3%
Reasonable opportunity to rehearse	5.91	75.0%
Skills will help be a better mentor	6.34	87.5%
Skills will Help my work as a lawyer	6.06	78.1%
Skills will help my life in general	6.38	93.8%
Program Held my attention	6.16	84.4%
Presenters were Knowledgeable	6.63	100.0%
Facilitators helped me understand information presented	6.23	96.8%
Facilitators helped me practice my skills	5.97	71.9%
Recommend the program to a colleague	6.28	90.6%

Value of follow-up opportunities	Avg. Scale 1-7 Not Useful – Extremely Useful	%6+
Advanced Live Training	6.28	93.8%
Live training on the web	4.68	35.5%
On-Demand Training on the web	4.61	35.5%
Newsletter	4.94	40.6%
Website for communication with others	5.13	59.4%